

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bect 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,923	01/30/2004	Osamu Kobayashi	118524	3973
25944	7590 03/09/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			KOSŁOW, CAROL M	
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDR	928 RIA, VA 22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1755	

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			in/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Į,				
	10/766,923	KOBAYASHI ET A	L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	C. Melissa Koslow	1755					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a t. reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2004 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ (the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority documen	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/04. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO)-152) (5%				

Application/Control Number: 10/766,923

Art Unit: 1755

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

DE 1,177,538 has been considered with respect to the relevancy given in the Search Report.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by DE 1,177,538.

The search report indicates this reference anticipates the claimed electromagnetic wave absorber.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,923

Art Unit: 1755

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/765,057. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed composition in the copending application suggests that claimed absorber. The specification admits that the ferrite in this application contains a slight amount of Mn₂O₃, which overlaps the amounts claimed in the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

JP 2002-338339 is cited as of interest since teaches an electromagnetic wave absorber comprising 5-25 wt% non-magnetic powder and 75-95 wt% magnetic powder. The magnetic powder can be a MnZn ferrite which has a different formula than that claimed and there is no suggestion to use the claimed ferrite as the ferrite of the reference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at (571) 272-1233.

The fax number for all official communications is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,923 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cmk March 4, 2005 C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700